

Room: N216
Flight A: Start 10:45am
LD

2

Abell, Nathan

HEART OF TEXAS

St. Marks
October 17-19

Disclosure and Oral Critique encouraged. Please submit ballot first. Students will forfeit a round if they do not show up within 20 minutes of the start of the round. Phone # for problems, speedy

AFFIRMATIVE

POINTS

NEGATIVE

POINTS

Jesuit WS

West Des Moines Valle YZ

William Simoneaux

26

Yale Zhu

25

The better debating was done by the AFF from Jesuit WS
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: _____

Signed: _____

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

The AFF 3 won the off round off to
the NEG 5 to 1 so I affirm.

Room: N216
Flight B: Start 11:30am
LD

2

Abell, Nathan

HEART OF TEXAS

St. Marks

October 17-19

Disclosure and Oral Critique encouraged. Please submit ballot first. Students will forfeit a round if they do not show up within 20 minutes of the start of the round. Phone # for problems, speedy

AFFIRMATIVE

POINTS

NEGATIVE

POINTS

Alief Kerr JT

St. John's MJ

Joshua Tang

27

Matt Joyce

27

The better debating was done by the Deg from St. John's MJ
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: _____

Signed: _____

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

REF: I negated the NC, though the decision outcome is pretty sketchy on both sides

HEART OF TEXAS

St. Marks

October 17-19

Disclosure and Oral Critique encouraged. Please submit ballot first. Students will forfeit a round if they do not show up within 20 minutes of the start of the round. Phone # for problems, speedy

AFFIRMATIVE

POINTS

NEGATIVE

POINTS

Winston Churchill LB

Harker SR

Lynn Bimler

29

Sachin Rangarajan

29

The better debating was done by the NEG from HARKER SR
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: _____

Signed: _____

MRJW

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

ORAL CRITIQUE

IAR DOES NOT PROPERLY EXTEND CBA'S LINK TO MORALITY;
AND SHE MISAPPLIES BURGRIVE 8, WHICH ESTABLISHES
THE MORAL OBLIGATION TO ETHICAL VIGILANCE WITH
RESPECT TO THE SANCTITY OF LIFE.

HEART OF TEXAS

St. Marks

October 17-19

Disclosure and Oral Critique encouraged. Please submit ballot first. Students will forfeit a round if they do not show up within 20 minutes of the start of the round. Phone # for problems, speedy

AFFIRMATIVE

POINTS

NEGATIVE

POINTS

Bronx Science BE

Law Magnet LM

Bobby Esnard

28.5

Lorena Nanez

21

The better debating was done by the AFF from BRONX SCIENCE
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: _____

Signed: _____



COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

ORAL

IAR OFFERS A LITANY OF REASONS WHY ~~HIS~~ HIS
ADVOCACY DOES NOT BITE THE CRITIQUE, AS WELL
AS ARGUMENTS TO SUPPORT AFFIRMING THE RESOLUTION.
NR MERELY PETERATES THE SUBSTANCE OF THE
CRITIQUE, AVOIDS ANY MEANINGFUL CLASH, AND
FAILS TO RESPOND DIRECTLY TO IAR'S RESPONSES.

HEART OF TEXAS

St. Marks

October 17-19

Disclosure and Oral Critique encouraged. Please submit ballot first. Students will forfeit a round if they do not show up within 20 minutes of the start of the round. Phone # for problems, speedy

AFFIRMATIVE

POINTS

NEGATIVE

POINTS

Hockaday KF

Westlake DR

Kathleen French

29

Devin Race

29.5

The better debating was done by the NEG from Westlake
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: _____

Signed: 

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

RFD: Neg wins argument that we
use morality to determine actions
which requires context, thus winning
the NC's claim that we cant
make judgments based on a
neutral subject

HEART OF TEXAS

St. Marks

October 17-19

Disclosure and Oral Critique encouraged. Please submit ballot first. Students will forfeit

a round if they do not show up within 20 minutes of the start of the round. Phone # for problems, speedy

AFFIRMATIVE

POINTS

NEGATIVE

POINTS

Austin SFA JT

James Tobey

29

St. Vincent De Paul BB

Brian Bordley

25

78

The better debating was done by the AFF from Austin SFA
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: _____

Signed: _____

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

Neg: - There is no reason to be rude to your opponent. I find it somewhat ironic that you are trying to make your opponent seem clueless when its quite the contrary.

- The signposting and structure of the NC proper and rebuttals is very unclear.

- Work on speaking. Your not in a policy round or going fast so work on cleaning up how your presenting ideas.

AFO: Neg arg- that morality doesnt exist means that everything is morally permissible so I affirm

HEART OF TEXAS

St. Marks

October 17-19

Disclosure and Oral Critique encouraged. Please submit ballot first. Students will forfeit a round if they do not show up within 20 minutes of the start of the round. Phone # for problems, speedy

AFFIRMATIVE

POINTS

NEGATIVE

POINTS

Crowley HL

Cascia Hall DA

Hailey Lopez

28

Daniel Auffenberg

26

The better debating was done by the AFF from Crowley HL
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: _____

Signed: _____

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

Affirm on the extension of framework and extension
of Mahela? ~~also~~ = AC saving more rights. & matter
if killing is bad the NC is killing more.

HEART OF TEXAS

St. Marks

October 17-19

Disclosure and Oral Critique encouraged. Please submit ballot first. Students will forfeit a round if they do not show up within 20 minutes of the start of the round. Phone # for problems, speedy

AFFIRMATIVE

POINTS

NEGATIVE

POINTS

Hattiesburg BK

Bretton Klinedinst

26

Salado TC

Tyler Cook

29

The better debating was done by the NEG from Salado TC
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: _____

Signed: _____

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

Negate b/c of the extension of NC standard coupled w/ the extension of. Dinn, ~~also~~ also the extension of Calahan. ~~Dehumanization~~ happens more in AC world.

HEART OF TEXAS

St. Marks

October 17-19

Disclosure and Oral Critique encouraged. Please submit ballot first. Students will forfeit a round if they do not show up within 20 minutes of the start of the round. Phone # for problems, speedy

AFFIRMATIVE

POINTS

NEGATIVE

POINTS

Albuquerque Academy KC

28

Law Magnet JR

Kate Cygan

~~28~~

JAQUELINE RIOS

25

The better debating was done by the AFF from Albuquerque Academy KC
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: _____

Signed: Neil Conrad

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

There is no offense in the extension of the K in the 2NR. There is no explanation of how the K changes the de-sensitization occurring now and no reason to reject the AC framework of understanding offense as those arguments that prove the resolution truth. In that case, I vote on the AC, which is virtually conceded.

HEART OF TEXAS

St. Marks

October 17-19

Disclosure and Oral Critique encouraged. Please submit ballot first. Students will forfeit a round if they do not show up within 20 minutes of the start of the round. Phone # for problems, speedy

AFFIRMATIVE

POINTS

NEGATIVE

POINTS

Meadows JL

Glenbrook North PD

Jordan LaMothe

28.5

Pat Donovan

28.5

The better debating was done by the NEG from Glenbrook North PD
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: _____

Signed: Neil Conrad

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

I vote on the conditionality objection. The text of the resolution does not give the affirmative the right to conditionality. Just because permissible does not mean required does not mean that the affirmative can pick one instance when the action is permissible. Negative wins that the involability issue is key to good topic literature, which is key to fairness (and education). AC prevents access to involability literature.

Room: LS120
Flight A: Start 10:45am
LD

2

Willis, Walter

HEART OF TEXAS

St. Marks

October 17-19

Disclosure and Oral Critique encouraged. Please submit ballot first. Students will forfeit a round if they do not show up within 20 minutes of the start of the round. Phone # for problems, speedy

AFFIRMATIVE

POINTS

NEGATIVE

POINTS

Westwood AA

Abhay Agarwal

29

Mayde Creek DA

Danny Alexander

27 LPW

The better debating was done by the neg from Mayde Creek DA
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: X

Signed: Crist Strake Jesuit

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

oral

Room: LS198
Flight B: Start 11:30am
LD

2

Dessi, Katie

HEART OF TEXAS

St. Marks

October 17-19

Disclosure and Oral Critique encouraged. Please submit ballot first. Students will forfeit a round if they do not show up within 20 minutes of the start of the round. Phone # for problems, speedy

AFFIRMATIVE

POINTS

NEGATIVE

POINTS

Crowley DL

Daniel Lumpee

29

Colleyville Heritage MT

Mubeen Tejani

28 1/2

The better debating was done by the

Aff

AFF or NEG

from

Crowley DL

TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: _____

Signed: _____

[Signature]

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

Room: LS198
Flight A: Start 10:45am
LD

Dessi, Katie

HEART OF TEXAS

St. Marks
October 17-19

Disclosure and Oral Critique encouraged. Please submit ballot first. Students will forfeit a round if they do not show up within 20 minutes of the start of the round. Phone # for problems, speed.

AFFIRMATIVE

POINTS

NEGATIVE

POINTS

Marquette University SH

Greenhill TT

Samuel Hope

2042

Tanya Thanawalla

200

The better debating was done by the Aff from Marquette SH
AFF OF NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: _____

Signed: [Signature]

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

Aff The "dirty hands" / lesser evils argument is very intuitive, it sounds true / makes a tone of sense - but at the end of the day, I just don't hear an in case warrant beyond that appeal to intuition / common sense that said, props on a very well articulated case & very clear position however tenuously warranted it may be.

Neg I like the NE strat... honestly, I have no idea why you're reading all the no abuse stuff. - Maybe that's because I have no clue what VIC means. The one question I have is this: The topic says "is" morally permissible - you argue "shouldn't be" morally permissible - is this an is / ought fallacy? That is, can it be the case that based on a moral system something is immoral, but for reasons outside one moral system it shouldn't be? (or would that simply be an indicator that the former moral system is a bad ~~sys~~ moral system in general?)

ED Interestingly enough, the issue of "should" it be MP v. "is" if MP is exactly what the round boils down to. Nelson gives reasons why it "is" MP to kill one to save many as per uncontested cause standard & Walter + other neg say why we shouldn't call it MP. Each debater uses their argument to take out the other person's argument. The problem is that neither debater is explicitly telling me which interpretation is important in terms of my decision to what end. I vote for... I necessarily have to ignore the substance of what the other side says. I have to do substance.

HEART OF TEXAS

St. Marks

October 17-19

Disclosure and Oral Critique encouraged. Please submit ballot first. Students will forfeit a round if they do not show up within 20 minutes of the start of the round. Phone # for problems, speedy

AFFIRMATIVE

POINTS

Los Altos DM

Daniel Moerner

148

29.5

NEGATIVE

POINTS

West RB

Ryan Beck

126

25

The better debating was done by the

Aff

from

Los Altos DM

AFF or NEG

TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: _____

Signed: _____

[Signature]

Lots of Reps
Need More Arg
Diversity

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

Oral Discussion

In short, INC fails to answer

Big Parts of IAC. IAR

extends & Explains Them

Fairly

Well.

Room: FA120
Flight A: Start 10:45am
LD

2

Duby, Sam

HEART OF TEXAS

St. Marks

October 17-19

Disclosure and Oral Critique encouraged. Please submit ballot first. Students will forfeit a round if they do not show up within 20 minutes of the start of the round. Phone # for problems, speedy

AFFIRMATIVE

POINTS

NEGATIVE

POINTS

Shawnee HS

Heather Swadley

30

Scarsdale DD

Daniel Daks

28

LPW
GWS

The better debating was done by the

Neg
AFF or NEG

from

Scarsdale DD
TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: _____

Signed: _____

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

ORAL

Room: N104
Flight B: Start 11:30am
LD

2

Evnen, Tom

HEART OF TEXAS

St. Marks

October 17-19

Disclosure and Oral Critique encouraged. Please submit ballot first. Students will forfeit a round if they do not show up within 20 minutes of the start of the round. Phone # for problems, speedy

AFFIRMATIVE

POINTS

NEGATIVE

POINTS

San Ramon Valley AS

Amanda Swenson

27.5

Winston Churchill CD

Claire Daviss

28

The better debating was done by the NEG from Winston Churchill CD
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: _____

Signed: _____

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

ORAL

Room: N104
Flight A: Start 10:45am
LD

2

Evnen, Tom

HEART OF TEXAS

St. Marks

October 17-19

Disclosure and Oral Critique encouraged. Please submit ballot first. Students will forfeit a round if they do not show up within 20 minutes of the start of the round. Phone # for problems, speedy

AFFIRMATIVE

POINTS

NEGATIVE

POINTS

Kingwood GA

Walt Whitman EM

Geoff Alexander

27.5

Emily Massey

28.5

The better debating was done by the Neg from WALT WHITMAN EM
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: _____

Signed: _____

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

NEG WAS ON CONTRADICTION
IN THE 1AR REGARDING THE
IMPACT OF ROUS BONE
PRESIDENT.

HEART OF TEXAS

St. Marks

October 17-19

Disclosure and Oral Critique encouraged. Please submit ballot first. Students will forfeit a round if they do not show up within 20 minutes of the start of the round. Phone # for problems, speedy

AFFIRMATIVE

POINTS

NEGATIVE

POINTS

Cascia Hall RK

Brophy College Prep MJ

1:05

Rahul Kohli

26.5

Matt Johnson

27

The better debating was done by the Neg from Brophy College Prep
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: _____

Signed: Sabin Gelineau

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

The AC needs to have a better story linking permissibility to morality. You also need more supporting evidence for your arguments to solidify the context and the framework of the debate. It gives you more to work with in the IAR. Also, impact your 1st contention.
NC: You need to attack the standard of agency and win that they are not moral in either case to prove the validity of the K. No one would be a moral agent if reasoning is bad. What is the alternative to using reasoning to achieve morality? I specifically told you that if you run a K there must be a link, implication and alternative. W/out an alternative you run into a ground debate.
IAR: You need to attack the NC. Explain how even the Hume evidence says individuals make moral decisions based on past experiences. That is influenced by reasoning. Hume never says reasoning isn't involved.
NR: You need to label your voters clearly. The alternative is pretty weak. Basically you're saying all moral decisions ~~are~~ should be based on our senses. How is that possible? So an animal can be a moral agent b/c it has senses. You are very lucky the IAR doesn't question why of this.
ZAR: Better than the IAR but not nearly enough.
RFD: The Neg wins the kritik of morality, as bad as the alternative is. and at that point you wouldn't be able to determine what is permissible b/c we don't know what is moral.

Room: FA107
Flight B: Start 11:30am
LD

2

Hogan, Tim

HEART OF TEXAS

St. Marks
October 17-19

Disclosure and Oral Critique encouraged. Please submit ballot first. Students will forfeit a round if they do not show up within 20 minutes of the start of the round. Phone # for problems, speedy

AFFIRMATIVE

POINTS

NEGATIVE

POINTS

Bronx Science AS

Adam Silver

28

Blake PO

Phelan O'Neill

28.5

The better debating was done by the Neg from Bronx Science PO
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: _____

Signed: _____

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

SANTOS

is dropped +

11/16 furns AC

Room: FA107
Flight A: Start 10:45am
LD

2

Hogan, Tim

HEART OF TEXAS

St. Marks

October 17-19

Disclosure and Oral Critique encouraged. Please submit ballot first. Students will forfeit a round if they do not show up within 20 minutes of the start of the round. Phone # for problems, speedy

AFFIRMATIVE

POINTS

NEGATIVE

POINTS

West GT

Monticello MT

Graham Tierney

28

Matthew Thomas

27

The better debating was done by the

AFF

from


West GT

AFF or NEG

TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: _____

Signed: _____



COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

HEART OF TEXAS

St. Marks

October 17-19

Disclosure and Oral Critique encouraged. Please submit ballot first. Students will forfeit a round if they do not show up within 20 minutes of the start of the round. Phone # for problems, speedy

AFFIRMATIVE

POINTS

NEGATIVE

POINTS

Seven Lakes Sd

Steve delperdang

26

Brophy College Prep JL

Jeff Lockhart

27

The better debating was done by the NEG from BROPHY PREP
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: _____

Signed: Douglas Jeffers

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

THE 1 AL ANSWER TO THE WC WAS
BASICLY NO ALTERNATIVE TO CONSEQUENTIAISM
OVER + OVER AGAIN. DROPPING THE PART OF THE
NC ABOUT ALTERNATIVES MADE THIS STRATEGY
NOT VIABLE.

HEART OF TEXAS

St. Marks

October 17-19

Disclosure and Oral Critique encouraged. Please submit ballot first. Students will forfeit a round if they do not show up within 20 minutes of the start of the round. Phone # for problems, speedy

AFFIRMATIVE

POINTS

NEGATIVE

POINTS

Newtown High School (AA

New Trier Township XX

Akshay Agashe

27

Andrew Chesley

26

The better debating was done by the AFF from NEWTOWN
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: _____

Signed: _____

Douglas Jeffers

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

THE TROUBLE IS THAT THE AFF SEEM TO
BE WINNING THAT ~~SKERTILISM~~ SECULAR ETHICS
ARE SKERTILISM, & THAT SKERTILISM AFFIRMS.
THE PROBLEM IS THAT NO ONE IS ADVOCATING
SECULAR ETHICS. THE AC EXTENSIONS ARE
POORLY EXPLAINED IN THE 2A, & IT IS
HARD TO SEE WHY WE AFFIRM ON RELIGIOUS
ETHICS. NEVERTHELESS THE ASSENTION IS THERE,
& THE NEGATIVE RESPONSES ARE TAKEN OUT.

HEART OF TEXAS

St. Marks

October 17-19

Disclosure and Oral Critique encouraged. Please submit ballot first. Students will forfeit a round if they do not show up within 20 minutes of the start of the round. Phone # for problems, speedy

AFFIRMATIVE

POINTS

NEGATIVE

POINTS

Greenhill DS

Danielle Smogard

29

Hockaday SV

Shivani Vohra

29

The better debating was done by the Neg from Hockaday SV
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: _____

Signed: Gary Johnson

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

AFF concedes that she must prove not being relativist to win. Neg wins that AFF is relativist via arg that the person who is killed would not want to be killed & different belief systems make it relativist.

HEART OF TEXAS

St. Marks

October 17-19

Disclosure and Oral Critique encouraged. Please submit ballot first. Students will forfeit a round if they do not show up within 20 minutes of the start of the round. Phone # for problems, speed

AFFIRMATIVE

POINTS

NEGATIVE

POINTS

Mayde Creek SZ

Sara Zaman

27

Albuquerque Academy IE

Ian Erickson-Kery

27

The better debating was done by the Neg from Albuquerque Academy IE
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: _____

Signed: _____

Gary Johnson

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

2AR- Don't make new Args to overview.
- you are making new Arguments to just
about everything.

There is No AR or 2AR offense.

I negate on Adams 1 & 2. Neg proves
his standard is prereq to AFF & justifies it.
Adams 1 & 2 meet standard.

HEART OF TEXAS

St. Marks

October 17-19

Disclosure and Oral Critique encouraged. Please submit ballot first. Students will forfeit a round if they do not show up within 20 minutes of the start of the round. Phone # for problems, speedy

AFFIRMATIVE

POINTS

NEGATIVE

POINTS

Anderson MV

Dulles KG

1:00

Madeline Vuong

28.5

Kiwi Gupta

28.5

The better debating was done by the AFF from Anderson MV
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: _____

Signed: Roger Legrand

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

I ALWAYS THINK THE AFF IS WINNING DIRECTLY AFTER THE IAC. (KIPPING)

COMPARATIVE ANALYSIS IN THE FIRST SPEECH OR SPEECH WHERE AFTER SPEECH A PRIORI WERE ORIGINALLY ARTICULATED WOULD HAVE WON THE ROUND FOR EITHER DEBATER.

DEFAULT VOTE AFF, FIRST A PRIORI FLOWS AFF FROM IAC.

HEART OF TEXAS

St. Marks

October 17-19

Disclosure and Oral Critique encouraged. Please submit ballot first. Students will forfeit a round if they do not show up within 20 minutes of the start of the round. Phone # for problems, speedy

AFFIRMATIVE

POINTS

NEGATIVE

POINTS

Homeschool KV ¹³

Mountain View DK ¹⁵⁰

Kelsey Valadez

27

Daniel Khalessi

29

The better debating was done by the NEG from Mountain View DK
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: _____

Signed: Roger C Legrand

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

RFD:

- ① NEG THROUGH TURN ON IAC LINK TO AFF STANDARD (SUB A - IS NO DISCUSSION IF PPL ARE READ.
- ② NO AFF OFFENSE FROM THE ZAR, EXCEPT FOR AN ARGUMENT THAT CHANGES FROM 1A TO 2A.

HEART OF TEXAS

St. Marks

October 17-19

Disclosure and Oral Critique encouraged. Please submit ballot first. Students will forfeit a round if they do not show up within 20 minutes of the start of the round. Phone # for problems, speedy

AFFIRMATIVE

POINTS

NEGATIVE

POINTS

Southlake Carroll RB

Ryan Bennett

30

Bronx Science SS

Saboor Sheerazi

28

The better debating was done by the ARR from Southlake Carroll RB
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: _____

Signed: _____

Massey

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

ARR wins not. morality is defined as coming
out social will - not consequentialism

Camus doesn't apply

Room: FA109
Flight A: Start 10:45am
LD

2

Massey, Jonathan

HEART OF TEXAS

St. Marks

October 17-19

Disclosure and Oral Critique encouraged. Please submit ballot first. Students will forfeit a round if they do not show up within 20 minutes of the start of the round. Phone # for problems, speedy

AFFIRMATIVE

POINTS

NEGATIVE

POINTS

Jenks DR

Blake SM

Daniel Rose

27

Susie Marshall

27

The better debating was done by the Neg from Blake SM
AFFIRMATIVE TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: _____

Signed: _____

Jonathan Massey

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

Neg was NC + 2nd Overvew (Santos, dehumanization)

HEART OF TEXAS

St. Marks

October 17-19

Disclosure and Oral Critique encouraged. Please submit ballot first. Students will forfeit a round if they do not show up within 20 minutes of the start of the round. Phone # for problems, speedy

AFFIRMATIVE

POINTS

NEGATIVE

POINTS

Northland Christian TC

Thomas Carmichael

29

Stony Point MY

Mirusha Yogarajah

27

The better debating was done by the AFF from Northland Christian
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: _____

Signed: _____

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

I would have liked to see some
better answers to the Kritez based on
theory of fairness.

Wow - you really did not want to
admit did you?

You want to ensure some of the
specifiers of AFF framework
and respond to his arguments

I vote AFF b/c it allows ~~an action~~
to save more people by killing one.

This was a difficult round to evaluate &
I saw a lot of votes off the turned off case argument.

The K was sort of a wash with non responsive teams
being left unchallenged, but this was arranged by
Extended AFF offering the grounds was back.

HEART OF TEXAS

St. Marks
October 17-19

Disclosure and Oral Critique encouraged. Please submit ballot first. Students will forfeit a round if they do not show up within 20 minutes of the start of the round. Phone # for problems, speedy

AFFIRMATIVE

POINTS

NEGATIVE

POINTS

Strake Jesuit College PT

Anderson BB

Paul Tyger

30

Bronte Bejarano

27 *em*

The better debating was done by the AFF from Strake PT
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: _____

Signed: _____

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

Neg - Don't put all of your eggs in one basket - you need to have more offense going for you than callahan. Don't drop turns on your 1 piece of offense.

RFD:

- ① The Neg does not meet her burden to disprove the resolution
- Callahan's ~~rea~~ distinction is non-topical as per the extension
@ the top of the AC - so it can't meet the burden
- ② The 2nd Neg burden in the AC is dropped - must prove that the obligation the Neg upholds overrides the one the AFF upholds
- This burden is not met b/c of the extension of the A point in the second contention - there are quantitatively more people alive in the AFF world
This ~~is~~ was articulated as sufficient to affirm

HEART OF TEXAS

St. Marks
October 17-19

Disclosure and Oral Critique encouraged. Please submit ballot first. Students will forfeit a round if they do not show up within 20 minutes of the start of the round. Phone # for problems, speedy

AFFIRMATIVE

POINTS

NEGATIVE

POINTS

Plano East SS

Stony Point TH

Shilpa Sethuraman

26.5

Tyler Haulotte

25

The better debating was done by the AFF from Plano East SS
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: _____

Signed: _____

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

NEG - You come off as extremely patronizing & obnoxious. Your tone ~~was~~ towards your opponents arguments is completely disrespectful & unacceptable. You seem like a nice kid but your tone in your rebuttals sends the opposite message. You definitely need to be aware of the perceptual part to a debate round. ~~the~~ Your tone undercuts the strength of your arguments in terms of persuasion.

AFF - Your case has very weak & unarticulated links to the resolution. I tend to agree with the negative that your contentions are really only justifications for your standard. Which, on this topic, is pretty common but you think & extend them like they are "normal" pieces of offense.

RFD: I do not default negate - that is explicit in my paradigm that is posted in multiple places online. ~~a~~ ~~is~~. Plus, no one asked for my paradigm before the round started. Neither debater has any offense in the round. The Neg drops his own case to go for an off case he loses.

- The AFF's even if argument on the off case is mishandled by the Neg
- The complaint that the scenario is new is ridiculous b/c she could not have predicted prior to the round that you were going to ask for a specific context.

When there is no offense I look @ who is doing a better job arguing the defensive parts of the debate. This is clearly being ~~done~~ done by the affirmative. She won the Neg, the off case and is winning the second contention of the Aff

HEART OF TEXAS

St. Marks

October 17-19

Disclosure and Oral Critique encouraged. Please submit ballot first. Students will forfeit

a round if they do not show up within 20 minutes of the start of the round. Phone # for problems, speedy

AFFIRMATIVE

POINTS

NEGATIVE

POINTS

Walt Whitman JK

Jane Kessner

29

Hockaday SR

Sarah Ridout

275


The better debating was done by the Aff from Walt Whitman JK
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: _____

Signed: _____

Jennifer Miller

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

Sarah, your instincts on what arguments to go for and that their potential flaws are are extremely good. You don't always execute those thoughts effectively but with a little more practice that will come is well. 

RFD: Aff is winning that morality's purpose is social induct. and that that goal would be served by the resolutional action.

Room: FA110
Flight A: Start 10:45am
LD

2

Miller, Jennifer

HEART OF TEXAS

St. Marks

October 17-19

Disclosure and Oral Critique encouraged. Please submit ballot first. Students will forfeit a round if they do not show up within 20 minutes of the start of the round. Phone # for problems, speedily

AFFIRMATIVE

POINTS

NEGATIVE

POINTS

Elkins JS

Awty International PH

Joey Schwartz

27

Phillips Hogan

27.5

The better debating was done by the New from Awty International PH
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: _____

Signed: _____

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

Joey, you get quieter as you read quicker; turn up the volume. This round gets messy in a hurry and neither of you does a particularly good job cleaning it up. The Negative wins the extension that no actor can legitimately choose between lives so there would be no way to ~~completely~~ resolve the resolution's question b/c for the AFF b/c there would be no basis to create a "state of exception"; Joey, the discourse extension is poorly weighed and I'm not sure what to do w/ it at the end of the round.

HEART OF TEXAS

St. Marks

October 17-19

Disclosure and Oral Critique encouraged. Please submit ballot first. Students will forfeit a round if they do not show up within 20 minutes of the start of the round. Phone # for problems, speedily

AFFIRMATIVE

POINTS

NEGATIVE

POINTS

Austin SFA JM

Seven Lakes Jk

James Monaco

28

Jacob koshak

26

The better debating was done by the AFF from SFA JM
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: _____

Signed: _____

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

The NR is only 2 minutes. Not sure if he got confused as after NR 1 stated 2 min, as in 2 min of prep time. At any rate, AFF proves neg violates side-constraints as well, thus I look to the neg. And I proactively affirm via ARG that as long as mental no prohibition this action is morally permissible. I could also affirm off of the Zizek extension or the underview as well.

HEART OF TEXAS

St. Marks

October 17-19

Disclosure and Oral Critique encouraged. Please submit ballot first. Students will forfeit a round if they do not show up within 20 minutes of the start of the round. Phone # for problems, speedy

AFFIRMATIVE

POINTS

NEGATIVE

POINTS

Strake Jesuit College RH

Roddy Hernandez

28.5

Greenhill EC

Ed Culham

28.5

The better debating was done by the Aff from Strake RH
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: _____

Signed: ME NA

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

~~I agree with Roddy's arg of 2 times previous categorical for being a victim
3/43 up a categorical negation of never victim a human the idea of being
as, and the exception for deliberation to achieve agency, he claims that's a redirection
to the exception and Roddy never addresses this. He can't get around it and
so he's wrong~~

Very difficult round for me because both debaters seem to know their relative positions very well and only address their opponent's at a very minimal level. When done, I can't get away from the off case position of morality based on agency and that an exception is necessary to deliberate or else we are locked out of agency. - While redirection may be an exception I don't think it totally takes out the position.

HEART OF TEXAS

St. Marks

October 17-19

Disclosure and Oral Critique encouraged. Please submit ballot first. Students will forfeit a round if they do not show up within 20 minutes of the start of the round. Phone # for problems, speedy

AFFIRMATIVE

POINTS

NEGATIVE

POINTS

Apple Valley CT

Chris Theis

29

Torrey Pines NK

Naveen Krishnamurthi

27.5

The better debating was done by the AFF from Apple Valley CT
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: _____

Signed: 

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

Oral

✓ Leg: Work on signposting. It's particularly hard to follow the ✓ R.

RFD: ✓ Leg extension of def of moral permissibility is through ink.
That means either extensions from the ✓ C or the turn on the aff-case affirm.

HEART OF TEXAS

St. Marks

October 17-19

Disclosure and Oral Critique encouraged. Please submit ballot first. Students will forfeit a round if they do not show up within 20 minutes of the start of the round. Phone # for problems, speedy

AFFIRMATIVE

POINTS

NEGATIVE

POINTS

Southlake Carroll CC

Plano TL

Cathy Creighton

27

Tomas Lorinc

26

The better debating was done by the Aff from Southlake CC
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: _____

Signed: [Signature]

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

Oral

✓ Neg: Slow down on tags and/or author names

Explain the implication of these arguments more clearly in the text of the VC.

RFD: VC makes no sense. Neg is winning arguments that humanitarian intervention would not kill innocents. But the implications are purely defensive. Neg wins turns on AC standard, but extension of Teeson means just side-effects, so no matter.

Thrs. in accord. w/ my paradigm.

Room: FA111
Flight B: Start 11:30am
LD

2

O'Connell, Micha

HEART OF TEXAS

St. Marks

October 17-19

St. Marks

Disclosure and Oral Critique encouraged. Please submit ballot first. Students will forfeit a round if they do not show up within 20 minutes of the start of the round. Phone # for problems, speedy

AFFIRMATIVE

Dulles SC

Sasha Chada

POINTS
POINTS
POINTS
POINTS
29.5

NEGATIVE

Monticello DC

Daniel Cook

POINTS
POINTS
POINTS
POINTS
29.5

The better debating was done by the

AFF or NEG

from

TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: _____

Signed: _____

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

Sasha: Please be a little less confrontational. If you told me to read something off your computer when I debated, I would've told you to sod off.
Dan: Your evidence is definitely out of context.

HEART OF TEXAS

St. Marks

October 17-19

Disclosure and Oral Critique encouraged. Please submit ballot first. Students will forfeit a round if they do not show up within 20 minutes of the start of the round. Phone # for problems, speedy

AFFIRMATIVE

POINTS

NEGATIVE

POINTS

Hebron JW

Scarsdale MM

Jonathan Wallen

26.5

Marcus Moretti

30

The better debating was done by the NEG from Scarsdale MM
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: _____

Signed: _____

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

AC - it seems like it would be a lot more useful to establish the criterion as something other than maximizing lives - which becomes an affirmative truism under your OI. The NEG says. Good evidence, sir.

NC - Solid. One of the better depth spreads I've seen in a little while. The NC also sussess out a lot of the nuances of the positive/negative obligations position instead of being horribly generic. Rock.

[AR: GROUP! This line-by-line is a bad idea against this NC.

NR: Infinitive vs. preposition - Good call.

RFD: #3, #4 on AC standard coupled with the defense/truth/criterion link show that consequentialism failing is enough to negate

HEART OF TEXAS

St. Marks

October 17-19

Disclosure and Oral Critique encouraged. Please submit ballot first. Students will forfeit a round if they do not show up within 20 minutes of the start of the round. Phone # for problems, speedy

AFFIRMATIVE

POINTS

NEGATIVE

POINTS

New Trier Township CC

Charlie Cao

28

Los Altos JW

Jing Wang

28

The better debating was done by the Neg from Jing Wang
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: _____

Signed: _____

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

① act omission run is offensive seems like there is no justification for not losing to in time.

② Unit # 3 is not responsible to its some terminal defense to reject aff's arg frame

③ can change policy to work w/ survivors probably better than killing

HEART OF TEXAS

St. Marks
October 17-19

Disclosure and Oral Critique encouraged. Please submit ballot first. Students will forfeit a round if they do not show up within 20 minutes of the start of the round. Phone # for problems, speed

AFFIRMATIVE

POINTS

: 20

NEGATIVE

POINTS

Colleyville Heritage BB

Bekah Boyer

27.5

Winston Churchill AL

Amanda Legrand

50
28

The better debating was done by the Bekah Neg from Churchill AL
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: _____

Signed: DMB

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

Neg: you use too many fillers:

"Moreover" "at that point"
said then
You "at least like 40 times"

RFD:

- ① No moral theory
- ② Need to be categorical
- ③ cadaverous sdre

Room: N203
Flight B: Start 11:30am
LD

2

Schifberg, Jerem

HEART OF TEXAS

St. Marks

October 17-19

Disclosure and Oral Critique encouraged. Please submit ballot first. Students will forfeit a round if they do not show up within 20 minutes of the start of the round. Phone # for problems, speedy

AFFIRMATIVE

POINTS

NEGATIVE

POINTS

Northland Christian JR

Josh Roberts

28.5

Carrollton School of Ag

Alina gomez

27

The better debating was done by the Aff from Northland Christian
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: _____

Signed: _____

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

(CORAL)

Aff wins offense off Ae + takes out NC
w/ deont. pa @ they arg + extension of Komsky

HEART OF TEXAS

St. Marks

October 17-19

Disclosure and Oral Critique encouraged. Please submit ballot first. Students will forfeit a round if they do not show up within 20 minutes of the start of the round. Phone # for problems, speedy

AFFIRMATIVE

POINTS

NEGATIVE

POINTS

Kingwood NG

Neil Grigg

27.5

St. Vincent De Paul EP

Ethan Pawson

28

The better debating was done by the Aff from Kingwood
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: ☒

Signed: _____

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

ORAL

insufficient ballot story,
I barely observed the loss of value &
am more persuaded by /ADRZAR
extensions.

However, interesting NC, I enjoyed it

Thanks

HEART OF TEXAS

St. Marks

October 17-19

Disclosure and Oral Critique encouraged. Please submit ballot first. Students will forfeit a round if they do not show up within 20 minutes of the start of the round. Phone # for problems, speedy

AFFIRMATIVE

POINTS

Strake Jesuit College PB

Philip Bartholomew

40 (work on slurring)
28

NEGATIVE

POINTS

Marcus CC

Carson Chalmers

29.5

The better debating was done by the Neg from Marcus CC
AFF OR NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: _____

Signed: _____

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

Aff → You did slur. Open your mouth! Be careful!
Glover 4 → I think that the Nazi movie program was stopped by MUGB more than this. Glover is kinda wrong about the importance of this heavy work.
- Careful w/ CX. You talked over the answer you were obviously looking for twice.
IAR → Watch the BOUNCING.
You need to deal w/ the NC more specifically.
You just try to say "takes out NC." Why?

Neg I think this was a really strong NC. You do a nice job meshing of NC & linking an extension of AC to being NC offense. I think your wording w/ necessary vs MP could be a lot clearer.
Yeah!! "There are other arguments & I don't want you to miss them." Smart.
BEAUTIFUL framing language in NR. Well DONE!

The issue here is Aff & doing a good job taking out the Neg "over simplification" arg. She says that allowing survival to even be a justification for action is bad. Aff extension & get out of this bc who gets to say if action is ok? No one. Therefore, on Nazi example, Neg wins.

HEART OF TEXAS

St. Marks

October 17-19

Disclosure and Oral Critique encouraged. Please submit ballot first. Students will forfeit a round if they do not show up within 20 minutes of the start of the round. Phone # for problems, speedy

AFFIRMATIVE

POINTS

NEGATIVE

POINTS

Cathedral DD

David Delgado

1:05
28

Apple Valley KG

Kevin Granlund

28

The better debating was done by the

Neg

from

AV

AFF or NEG

TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended:

Signed:

[Signature]

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

I think your case has a causation issue. Why will killing the oppressor create autonomy? Couldn't killing the oppressor simply allow for the rise of a worse oppressor?
⇒ AR → Huh? You never extended any relevant portion of the AC. He essentially dropped every contention level arg... why aren't you extending the hell out of AC comp? AR K? Qua? Que? Como? Huh?
A good tactical choice. It's not like you didn't have TONS of options

You need to deal w/ the core level of the more. Your responses @ top are also offensively framed. What does winning the 1st arg on AC do for you?
You should have got to "he doesn't extend any of the AC contention" a LOT sooner on AC side.

RFD: Was the alternative to the K extended? I don't know what it is.

Maybe it's just me but I simply don't get the K so there is no way Aff can win. I can then pick any Neg arg to be the round winner @ that point.

Room: N232
Flight B: Start 11:30am
LD

2

Vohra, Taarini

HEART OF TEXAS

St. Marks

October 17-19

Disclosure and Oral Critique encouraged. Please submit ballot first. Students will forfeit a round if they do not show up within 20 minutes of the start of the round. Phone # for problems, speedy

AFFIRMATIVE

POINTS

NEGATIVE

POINTS

Marcus EK

Evan Kirkham

27

Pace Academy MM

Michael Melia

27

The better debating was done by the Aff from Marcus EK
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: _____

Signed: Tairi Abz

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

ORAL

Room: N232
Flight A: Start 10:45am
LD

2

Vohra, Taarini

HEART OF TEXAS

St. Marks

October 17-19

Disclosure and Oral Critique encouraged. Please submit ballot first. Students will forfeit a round if they do not show up within 20 minutes of the start of the round. Phone # for problems, speedy

AFFIRMATIVE

POINTS

NEGATIVE

POINTS

Carnegie Vanguard RV

Rachel Vogel

27

Northland Christian MD

Molly Dunn

27

The better debating was done by the Neg from Northland Christian MD
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: _____

Signed: Tarini Vohra

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

ORAL

Room: LS156
Flight B: Start 11:30am
LD

2

spirtos, mike

HEART OF TEXAS

St. Marks

October 17-19

Disclosure and Oral Critique encouraged. Please submit ballot first. Students will forfeit a round if they do not show up within 20 minutes of the start of the round. Phone # for problems, speedy

AFFIRMATIVE

POINTS

NEGATIVE

POINTS

Vestavia Hills High KS

Kevin Sipe

27

Westlake AW

Andrew Waks

28.5

The better debating was done by the NEG from Westlake AW
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: _____

Signed: Maul Spirtos

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

Room: LS156
Flight A: Start 10:45am
LD

2

spirtos, mike

HEART OF TEXAS

St. Marks

October 17-19

Disclosure and Oral Critique encouraged. Please submit ballot first. Students will forfeit a round if they do not show up within 20 minutes of the start of the round. Phone # for problems, speedy

AFFIRMATIVE

POINTS

NEGATIVE

POINTS

West Des Moines Valle AK

Alex Kramer

27

Pace Academy AZ

Alex Zhang

28

The better debating was done by the NEG from Pace AZ
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: _____

Signed: _____

Murphy

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

Oral